Up-to-date Questions and Answers from authentic resources to improve knowledge and pass the exam at very first attempt. ----- Guaranteed.

KILL EXAMS

AACE-CEP MCQs
AACE-CEP Exam Questions
AACE-CEP Practice Test
AACE-CEP TestPrep
AACE-CEP Study Guide

PASS

killexams.com

AACE

AACE-CEP

Certified Estimating Professional

ORDER FULL VERSION

https://killexams.com/pass4sure/exam-detail/AACE-CEP

Question: 1513

During the development of a Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) for a multi-billion dollar infrastructure project, the lead estimator insists on integrating the Organization Breakdown Structure (OBS) with the WBS to create "Control Accounts." What is the primary functional purpose of this intersection according to AACE International recommended practices?

A. To provide a mapping between the cost coding system and the vendor's internal accounting software.
B. To ensure that every WBS element has a corresponding line item in the CSI MasterFormat for bidding.
C. To replace the Code of Accounts with a department-based reporting system for overhead allocation.
D. To establish the lowest level of the WBS where project performance is measured and management responsibility is assigned.

Answer: D
Explanation: The intersection of the WBS (representing the "what" or the work) and the OBS (representing the "who" or the organization) creates the Control Account. This is the fundamental management point where scope, budget, and schedule are integrated and compared to earned value for performance measurement. It ensures accountability by assigning a single manager to a specific piece of the work scope.

Question: 1514

Which of the following describes a scenario of "Front-End Loading" that would be most easily detected by an owner's representative?

A. High unit prices for early-stage excavation and low unit prices for late-stage landscaping
B. Utilizing a flat overhead rate across all months of the project
C. Using a high contingency for unknown site conditions
D. Inclusion of a large mobilization fee that is fully documented and justified

Answer: A
Explanation: Significant discrepancies between bid unit prices and current market rates for early versus late tasks are a "red flag" for front-end loading. Owners look for balanced bids where unit prices reflect the actual cost of the work.

Question: 1515

Financial: IRR solve for NPV=0, cashflows -100,30,40,50. Approx 15%.

A. 14.5%
B. 18%
C. 12%
D. 16%

Answer: A
Explanation: Trial i where PW=0, iterative. Basics for project viability.

Question: 1516

What is the "Learning Curve" effect in labor productivity, and how does it impact the unit man-hour rate as the number of repetitions increases?

A. The unit rate remains constant regardless of repetitions
B. The unit rate decreases as workers become more familiar with the task
C. The unit rate increases due to worker fatigue over time
D. The unit rate stays the same but the material waste increases

Answer: B
Explanation: The learning curve theory suggests that the time required to perform a task decreases at a decreasing rate as the task is repeated. In estimating, this means that for highly repetitive tasks (e.g., installing 2,000 identical valves), the man-hours per unit for the later units will be significantly lower than for the first few units.

Question: 1517

Productivity analysis during costing reveals a labor factor of 1.35 for a remote site versus baseline. For 15,000 man-hours base from QTO-derived durations, calculate adjusted hours and cost at $72 burdened rate.
A. 18,000 hours; $1,296,000
B. 15,000 hours; $1,080,000 **C.** 20,250 hours; $1,458,000

D. 22,000 hours; $1,584,000

Answer: C Explanation: Adjusted hours = 15,000 × 1.35 = 20,250 × $72 = 1,458,000. The productivity factor in costing modifies base resource needs from quantification to reflect site realities, prior to any pricing for market wages or profit.

Question: 1518

An EPC contractor maintains a cost control baseline for a $250M project using activity-based budgeting tied to the master schedule. Mid-project, productivity in concrete placement falls 12 1.8M cost overrun in that account while schedule slips by 4 weeks on non-critical paths. The baseline contingency for weather is 60% consumed. What action best preserves control baseline effectiveness?

A. Reallocate contingency from other accounts without documentation

B. Reset the entire schedule and cost baseline to current actuals monthly

C. Reduce the baseline budget by the overrun amount to force recovery

D. Analyze the variance for trends, update the forecast to completion using revised productivity factors, and only revise the baseline if the remaining contingency or scope changes warrant a formal re-baselining

Answer: D

Explanation: Cost control baselines support ongoing monitoring through variance analysis and forecasting. Productivity deviations trigger root cause evaluation and ETC (estimate to complete) updates, often using formulas incorporating current CPI or performance factors. Baseline changes are reserved for authorized scope or significant planning revisions, ensuring the baseline remains a stable reference for control.

Question: 1519

Structural steel ton to lb: 500 MT bid, convert.

A. 1,102,000 lb

B. 1,000,000 lb

C. 1,102,311 lb

D. 1,100,000 lb

Answer: C

Explanation: 500*2204.62=1,102,310 lb. Precise conversions prevent bid errors.

Question: 1520

Fluid processing plant: major equip $10M. Use Lang factor 4.7 for total installed cost?

A. $37M

B. $42M **C.** $47M

D. $52M

Answer: C Explanation: Lang factor multiplies delivered major equipment cost by process-type factor (4.7 fluids) for quick Class 5 total plant cost (ISBL+OSBL approx). $10M * 4.7 = 47M, benchmarked historically, simpler than itemized.

Question: 1521

For pricing a design-build project, the costed estimate (post-QTO and productivity) is $37 million. The team applies 7% design contingency in costing but for pricing adds market risk premium 3%, standard overhead 12%, and competitive profit 5.5%. Resulting price?

A. ~$46.5 million

B. $37 million **C.** $42 million

D. $50 million

Answer: A

Explanation: Pricing layers additional market/business elements on top of the fully costed (including contingency) base to set the proposal price, reflecting overall strategy beyond internal costing calculations.

Question: 1522

For a bulk solids handling facility, equipment cost is $9.8M. Updated AACE-aligned Lang factor for solids processing is approximately 4.1. Compute TIC and discuss limitations for use in a definitive estimate.

A. Factor 6.5 for fluids **B.** Add profit separately only **C.** $9.8M

D. Approximately $40.2M; suitable for order-of-magnitude but too coarse for definitive estimates (Class 1-2), where detailed takeoff and unit pricing are required instead of broad multipliers

Answer: D

Explanation: Lang factors deliver rapid conceptual TIC from equipment cost but carry wide uncertainty due to their aggregated nature. They are inappropriate for higher-maturity estimates needing precise quantities, productivity adjustments, and specific site conditions.

Question: 1523

Which of the following describes "Uncertainty" in the context of quantity takeoffs?

A. The variance between the estimated quantity and the actual quantity installed due to design development.
B. The increase in the price of steel over two years.
C. The chance that a labor strike will occur.
D. The possibility that the client will cancel the project.

Answer: A
Explanation: Quantity uncertainty (also known as "Quantity Growth") refers to the inherent variability in the physical quantities as a design moves from conceptual (Class 5) to detailed (Class 1). This is a primary driver of cost uncertainty and is handled through allowances and contingency.

Question: 1524

A mining project converts its bottom-up estimate ($320M) to a baseline by deducting 10M incentives and adding $25M contingency, yielding 335M PM**B.** Monthly control requires tracking against this frozen baseline. When subcontractor delays cause $4M rework, the PM updates baseline to 339M informally. This violates which budgeting principle?

A. Contingency laddering
B. Estimate-to-budget ratio
C. Time-phasing accuracy
D. Baseline change control

Answer: D
Explanation: Budget baselines demand formal change control boards (CCB) for modifications, freezing the PMB post-approval to enable objective earned value performance measurement. Informal updates like adding rework erode historical variance analysis, breaching AACE standards for controlled re-baselining only on approved scope/schedule changes.

Question: 1525

When a contractor applies a "Markup," they must distinguish between "Margin" and "Markup on Cost." If a project cost is $800,000 and the contractor wants a 20% profit margin on the selling price, what is the required markup percentage on the cost?
A. 20% **B.** 30% **C.** 25% **D.** 33%
Answer: C Explanation: Selling Price = $Cost/(1 - Margin) = 800,000/0.8 = 1,000,000$. Profit = 200,000. Markup on cost = $Profit/Cost = 200,000/800,000 = 0.25$ or 25%.

Question: 1526

A Location Factor is 0.85 for a city with a high unemployment rate. This likely indicates:

A. High productivity and/or low local labor and material costs compared to the base
B. That the project should not be built there
C. High labor rates
D. That the project will be 15% late

Answer: A
Explanation: An LF below 1.0 means the destination is cheaper than the base. In a high-unemployment area, labor rates are often lower, and there may be a surplus of skilled workers, leading to higher productivity and lower total costs.

Question: 1527

A project involves a large amount of structural steel. The estimator uses a "Price Indexing" method for conditioning. If the base price in Year 0 was $800/ton and the index was 100, and in Year 2 the index is 125, what is the adjusted price per ton in Year 2?
A. $825
B. $1,000 **C.** $900
D. $1,125
Answer: B Explanation: The calculation for index adjustment is New Price = Old Price × (New Index/Old Index). New Price = $800 × (125/100) = $800 × 1.25 = $1,000.
This is a standard method for time-conditioning specific material costs.

Question: 1528

For a complex piping isometric in a Class 2 estimate, the takeoff involves counting fittings by type/size from P&IDs and isometrics, measuring spool lengths, and applying a 3% waste factor plus 2% for field cuts per specs. If total measured length is 2,650 m with 185 fittings, describe the quantification method and its importance for labor productivity application.

A. Parametric per ton of steel; no need for fittings count
B. Stochastic capacity method; waste irrelevant
C. Deterministic detailed takeoff from drawings/specs with counts and measurements; ensures accurate quantities for applying productivity norms to derive man-hours
D. Analogy from prior project total only

Answer: C
Explanation: In higher-maturity estimates (Class 2+), quantification uses forced or detailed deterministic takeoff: direct measurement/count from engineering deliverables plus conditioning via specifications for waste, allowances, and installation rules. Accurate quantities are prerequisite for realistic labor hour calculations using productivity factors (output per MH or MH per unit), preventing under- or over-estimation of direct labor costs.

Question: 1529

In a building project Class 1 check estimate (80% maturity, detailed take-off per RP 56R-08, indicative L: -3% to -5% H: +3% to +10%), external market volatility (known market factor) arises. Discuss distinction from risk, impact on variability, and recommended probabilistic handling for pre-bid reliability.

A. Class 1 ranges absorb all without probabilistic update
B. Converts to unknown-unknown; reset to Class 5
C. Market volatility is uncertainty only, not risk; no impact on narrow Class 1 range—use deterministic
D. Volatility as known risk (market conditions) contributes to variability affecting reliability; distinct from pure uncertainty (distribution). Use updated Monte Carlo with current market distributions to adjust the probabilistic band around the detailed base, potentially widening effective accuracy beyond indicative narrow range for pre-bid

Answer: D
Explanation: Per AACE, risk includes identifiable market conditions (known or known-unknown with potential impact); uncertainty is the resulting outcome spread. This volatility increases estimate variability (one of several accuracy factors like market conditions), challenging the high reliability expected at Class 1 maturity (RP 56R-08 narrow indicative range). For pre-bid/tender, re-run probabilistic QRA (Monte Carlo) incorporating updated market price distributions into the detailed take-off model. This yields a project-specific distribution, refining or widening the confidence interval as needed, ensuring the check estimate's reliability is transparently communicated rather than assuming the generic table suffices.

Question: 1530

A contractor submits a bid for a tunnel project. The estimator notices that the unit price for "Rock Excavation" is set at $500 per cubic yard, while the market rate is $150. Conversely, the price for "Final Concrete Lining" is set at $100 per cubic yard, well below the market rate of $450. The contractor expects the rock quantity to increase significantly. This is an example of:

A. Technical variance analysis in a Class 1 estimate
B. An unbalanced bid intended to maximize profit through quantity variances
C. A balanced bid based on proprietary excavation technology
D. Competitive sourcing through aggressive subcontractor negotiation

Answer: B
Explanation: This is a form of an unbalanced bid. By inflating the unit price of an item they expect will increase in quantity (and deflating others), the contractor seeks to gain a financial advantage over the owner, which can be seen as an ethical risk and a financial risk to the project.

Question: 1531

A project requires a "Standby" crane. The vendor charges $1,000 per day regardless of use, and $200 per hour of actual operation. In a month with 20 workdays and 50 hours of operation, what is the "Fixed Type" component of this cost?

A. $30,000 **B.** $20,000
C. $40,000 **D.** $10,000

Answer: B
Explanation: The fixed component is the daily standby rate: $20\text{days} \times 1{,}000 = 20{,}000$. The usage fee ($50 \times 200 = 10{,}000$) is a variable type.

Question: 1532

Estimate reconciliation compares the current estimate against prior versions, independent checks, or benchmarks to identify variances and ensure consistency. Validation involves quantitative benchmarking against historical data, metrics (e.g., $/m^2 GFA for buildings or /$kW for power), or third-party reviews. For a building project estimate of 50,000 m² Gross Floor Area (GFA) at $2{,}800/m^2 (total 140 million), a prior Class 4 benchmark was $2{,}500/m^2 adjusted for scope/escalation. An independent check using parametric GFA norms yields 2,650/m². During reconciliation, the team identifies a 8% variance due to enhanced sustainability features not in the benchmark. What is the primary purpose of this reconciliation and validation step before finalizing the Class 3 budget?

A. To eliminate all contingency
B. To confirm reasonableness, resolve discrepancies, and document basis for stakeholder approval
C. Solely to apply location factors
D. To replace detailed take-off with parametric methods

Answer: B
Explanation: Reconciliation ensures the estimate aligns with prior baselines or checks by explaining variances (e.g., scope changes, market shifts). Validation benchmarks against norms (here GFA-based) or historicals to assess competitiveness and realism. Together, they support quality assurance, identify improvements, and provide documented confidence for

authorization, aligning with AACE practices for review and validation.

KILLEXAMS.COM

Killexams.com is a leading online platform specializing in high-quality certification exam preparation. Offering a robust suite of tools, including MCQs, practice tests, and advanced test engines, Killexams.com empowers candidates to excel in their certification exams. Discover the key features that make Killexams.com the go-to choice for exam success.

Exam Questions Based on Current Exam Objectives

Killexams.com provides exam questions aligned with the latest official exam objectives and latest syllabus. Our content is reviewed and updated regularly to reflect recent changes announced by certification vendors. By studying these questions, candidates will become cover the structure, difficulty level, and topic coverage of the actual exam, helping them prepare more effectively and efficiently.

Comprehensive Exam MCQs (PDF Format)

Killexams.com offers multiple-choice questions (MCQs) in easy-to-read PDF format, covering all major domains of the exam. Each PDF contains a structured collection of questions and verified answers designed to support focused study. These MCQs help candidates reinforce key concepts, identify knowledge gaps, and improve exam readiness through consistent practice.

Realistic Practice Tests (Online & Desktop)

To support hands-on preparation, Killexams.com provides practice tests through both an Online Test Engine and a Desktop Exam Simulator. These tools are designed to simulate a real exam environment, allowing candidates to practice under exam-like conditions. Performance tracking, test history, and result analysis help users evaluate their progress and focus on areas that need improvement.

Risk-Free Purchase Policy

Killexams.com follows a transparent and customer-friendly purchase policy. If users are not satisfied with the study materials, they may request assistance or a refund in accordance with our published terms and conditions. This policy reflects our commitment to customer satisfaction, fairness, and confidence in our preparation resources.

Regularly Updated Content

Our question bank is reviewed and updated on an ongoing basis to stay aligned with the latest exam outlines and vendor updates. This ensures candidates are studying relevant material and preparing with content that reflects current exam expectations, helping them stay confident and well-prepared.